

Jenkins
PoseySeth
BanningF. M. Hall
SemmesTaney
HowardWilliams
Bowles

Tomlinson

47

So it was determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr. Dennis, the question was put, That the following be received as an additional section to the bill: "And be it enacted, That the relative proportion of the representation of the city of Baltimore in the senate of the state, to that of each county, in the senate, shall be the same as the relative proportion of the representation of the city of Baltimore in the house of delegates, to the representation of each county of the state, in the house of delegates." Determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr. Lecompte, the question was put, That the house reconsider their decision in rejecting the following amendment: "And be it enacted, That in case any new county should hereafter be made in the state, that the representation of the western shore in the senate of the state, should not be in a greater proportion to the representation of the eastern shore in the senate, than nine to six, as it now is by the constitution of the state; and it is hereby further provided and enacted, that no alteration in the said proportion of representation shall at any time hereafter be made, unless for the alteration and confirmation thereof at least two thirds of all the members of each branch of the general assembly shall concur?" Resolved in the affirmative.

On motion by Mr. Lecompte, the question was then put, That the house adopt the said amendment? The yeas and nays being required appeared as follow:

AFFIRMATIVE.

| | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|----------|----------------|-------------|------------|
| Mr. Speaker | Horrell | Seth | Hart | Selby | Houston |
| H. Watts | Chew | Banning | Griffith | Quinton | M. Donald |
| Blakistone | Stoddert | Dennis | Beard | Handy | Lanham |
| Causin | Jenkins | Long | A. D. Mitchell | J. Mitchell | Washington |
| Boyer | Posey | Haynie | Thomas | Taney | Cresap |
| Ringgold | Hambleton | Pitt | Frey | Driver | Prather |
| Whittington | Hands | Lecompte | | | |

39

NEGATIVE.

| | | | | | |
|--------------|---------|------------|----------|------------|-------------|
| Sellman | Price | Meconnikin | Davis | Culbreth | Schnebly |
| R. Dorsey | Showers | Harrison | Sewell | W. Stewart | Hager |
| T. H. Dorsey | Semmes | Stevens | Bradford | Kell | R. K. Watts |
| C. Stewart | Duvall | Potts | H. Hall | Williams | Ridgely |
| Warner | Claude | Howard | Glenn | Bowles | Tomlinson |
| Harryman | | | | | |

31

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

The bill having been read throughout and amended, the question was put, Shall the said bill pass? The yeas and nays being required appeared as follow:

AFFIRMATIVE.

| | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|----------------|----------|------------|-------------|
| Boyer | Harryman | Griffith | Harrison | H. Hall | Williams |
| Ringgold | Price | Beard | Stevens | Glenn | Schnebly |
| Whittington | Showers | A. D. Mitchell | Selby | Driver | Hager |
| Sellman | Hambleton | Thomas | Quinton | Houston | R. K. Watts |
| T. H. Dorsey | Hands | Frey | Handy | M. Donald | Cresap |
| C. Stewart | Seth | F. M. Hall | Potts | Culbreth | Ridgely |
| Turner | Banning | Semmes | Taney | W. Stewart | Prather |
| Jenkins | Pitt | Duvall | Sewell | Kell | Tomlinson |
| Warner | Hart | Meconnikin | Bradford | | |

52

NEGATIVE.

| | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|--------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Mr. Speaker | R. Dorsey | Posey | Haynie | J. Mitchell | Bowles |
| H. Watts | Horrell | Dennis | Lecompte | Howard | Lanham |
| Blakistone | Chew | Long | Claude | Davis | Washington |
| Causin | Stoddert | | | | |

20

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

The house resumed the consideration of the report of the committee on the petition of Christopher Hughes of the city of Baltimore. On motion by Mr. Quinton, the question was put, That the further consideration thereof be referred to the next general assembly? Determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr. Kell, the question was then put, That the further consideration thereof be postponed until Monday next? Resolved in the affirmative.

The clerk of the senate delivers the following message:

BY THE SENATE, January 17, 1817.

Gentlemen of the House of Delegates,

The senate are prepared to proceed immediately to the ballot for bank directors; Mr. Felix Jenkins, for the Mechanics Bank, is put in nomination by this house in addition to those nominated by you. Messrs. Taney and Dorsey are nominated by this house to join the gentlemen named in your message to count the ballots.

By order,

R. HARWOOD, Clk.

Which was read.

Mr. Dennis from the joint committee to whom was referred the communication of the register of the land-office for the western shore relative to making a general index, delivers the following report:

The committee to whom was referred the representation of the register of the land office, relative to the propriety and advantage of directing the making and completing an index of the names of all tracts of lands surveyed or patented, as well for the time past as for the future, report, that they have examined a specimen of the work already completed by the register, and find it to be executed upon a good plan, and when completed the committee are of opinion that it will afford the greatest facility for the investigation of land titles in this state; and that without it there may many cases occur in which it will be impossible to trace the history of land titles, although all the regular and ordinary muniments of the title may be recorded in the office. There is already in the office an index of all tracts of land in the name of the taker up of the land, but no index of the name of the land, so that if the name of the taker up happens not to be